arXiv:math/0611913v3 [math.PR] 22 Apr 2010

Submitted to the Annals of Probability

AN EXTENSION OF THE LÉVY CHARACTERIZATION TO FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION

By Yuliya Mishura[†] and Esko Valkeila[‡]

Kiev University and Aalto University

Assume that X is a continuous square integrable process with zero mean defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P})$. The classical characterization due to P. Lévy says that X is a Brownian motion if and only if X and $X_t^2 - t$, $t \geq 0$ are martingales with respect to the intrinsic filtration F^X. We extend this result to fractional Brownian motion.

1. Introduction. In the classical stochastic analysis Lévy's characterization result for standard Brownian motion is a fundamental result. We extend Lévy's characterization result to fractional Brownian motion giving three properties necessary and sufficient for the process X to be a fractional Brownian motion. Fractional Brownian motion is a self-similar Gaussian process with stationary increments. However, these two properties are not explicitly present in the three conditions we shall give.

Fractional Brownian motion is a popular model in applied probability, in particular in teletraffic modelling and to some extent in finance. Fractional Brownian motion is not a semimartingale and there has been lot of research on how to define stochastic integrals with respect to fractional Brownian motion. Big part of the developed theory depends on the fact that fractional Brownian motion is a Gaussian process. Since we want to prove that X is a special Gaussian process, we cannot use this machinery for our proof. Lévy's characterization result is based on Itô calculus. We cannot do computations using the process X. Instead, we use the representation of the process X with respect to a certain martingale. In this way we can do computations using methods from classical stochastic analysis.

Notation and definitions. We use the following notation: $\overset{L^p(\mathrm{P})}{\rightarrow}$ means convergence in the space $L^p(\mathrm{P})$, $\overset{\mathrm{P}}{\rightarrow}$ (resp. $\overset{\text{a.s.}}{\rightarrow}$) means convergence in proba-

---

[*] The authors are grateful to anonymous referees for careful and constructive reading, and useful suggestions.

[†] Partially supported by by the Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia.

[‡] Supported by the Academy of Finland (grants 210465 and 212875).

AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 60G15; secondary 60E05, 60H99

Keywords and phrases: fractional Brownian motion, Lévy theorem

bility (resp. almost sure convergence) and $B(a,b)$ is the beta integral $B(a,b) = \int_0^1 x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}dx$, defined for $a,b>0$. The notation $X_n \le Y + o_{\mathrm{P}}(1)$ means that we can find random variables ϵ_n such that $\epsilon_n = o_{\mathrm{P}}(1)$ and $X_n \le Y + \epsilon_n$. If in addition in such a situation we have $X = \mathrm{P} - \lim X_n$, then $X \le Y$.

If M is a continuous square integrable martingale, then the bracket of M is denoted by $[M]$. Recall that in this case we have

$$[M]_t = \mathrm{P} - \lim_{|\pi^n|\to 0} \sum_{k=1}^n (M_{t_k} - M_{t_{k-1}})^2.$$

Fractional Brownian motion. A continuous square integrable centered process $X = (X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ with $X_0 = 0$ is a *fractional Brownian motion* with self-similarity index $H \in (0,1)$ if it is a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariance function

$$\mathrm{E}\left(X_s X_t\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right) \quad s,t \ge 0. \tag{1.1}$$

If X is a continuous Gaussian process with covariance (1.1), then obviously X has stationary increments and X is self-similar with index H. Mandelbrot named the Gaussian process X from (1.1) as *fractional Brownian motion*, and proved an important representation result for fractional Brownian motion in terms of standard Brownian motion in [3]. For results concerning fractional Brownian motion before Mandelbrot we refer to [5].

Characterization of fractional Brownian motion. Throughout this paper we work with special partitions. For $t>0$ we put $t_k := t\frac{k}{n}$, $k = 0,\ldots,n$. Further, let F^X be the filtration generated by the process X. Fix $H \in (0,1)$. Fractional Brownian motion has the following three properties:

- **(a)** The sample paths of the process X are β-Hölder continuous with any $\beta \in (0,H)$.
- **(b)** For $t>0$ we have

  $$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^n (X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}})^2 \xrightarrow{L^1(\mathrm{P})} t^{2H}, \tag{1.2}$$

  as $n \to \infty$.
- **(c)** The process

  $$M_t = \int_0^t s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}-H} dX_s \tag{1.3}$$

  is a martingale with respect to the filtration F^X.

If the process X satisfies **(a)**, we say that it is Hölder up to H. The property **(b)** characterizes the *weighted quadratic variation* of the process X, and the process M in **(c)** is the *fundamental martingale* of X. It is a martingale with the bracket $c_H t^{2-2H}$ for some constant c_H and is actually a time changed Brownian motion, up to a constant. It follows from the property **(a)** that the integral (1.3) can be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral (see [6] and subsection 2.3 for more details).

Fractional Brownian motion satisfies the property **(a)**: From (1.1) we have that

$$\mathrm{E}(X_t - X_s)^2 = (t-s)^{2H}.$$

Since the process X is a Gaussian process we obtain from Kolmogorov's theorem [7, Theorem I.2.1, p.26] that the process X is β-Hölder continuous with $\beta < H$. Fractional Brownian motion satisfies also the property **(b)**. The proof of this fact is based on the self-similarity and on the ergodicity of the fractional Gaussian noise sequence $Z_k := X_k - X_{k-1}$, $k \geq 1$. The fact that property **(c)** holds for fractional Brownian motion was known due to Molchan [5], and recently rediscovered by several authors (see [6]).

Now we summarize our main result.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that X is a continuous square integrable centered process with $X_0 = 0$. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- *The process X is a fractional Brownian motion with self-similarity index $H \in (0,1)$.*
- *The process X has properties* ***(a)****,* ***(b)*** *and* ***(c)*** *with some $H \in (0,1)$.*

REMARK 1.1. *Theorem 1.1 has appeared in [4] with a different proof.*

Discussion. If $H = \frac{1}{2}$, then the assumption **(c)** means that the process X is a martingale. If X is a martingale, then the condition **(b)** means that $X_t^2 - t$ is a martingale. Hence we obtain the classical Lévy characterization theorem, when $H = \frac{1}{2}$. Note that in this case the property **(a)** follows from the fact that X is a standard Brownian motion.

Fractional Brownian motion X also has the following property (see e.g., [8]): for $t > 0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}}|^{\frac{1}{H}} \overset{L^1(\mathrm{P})}{\to} \mathrm{E}|X_1|^{\frac{1}{H}} t \tag{1.4}$$

as $n \to \infty$. To check that (1.4) holds for fractional Brownian motion, similarly to (1.2), one can use self-similarity and ergodicity of the fractional

Gaussian noise sequence. This gives another possibility to generalize the quadratic variation property of standard Brownian motion. However, it is difficult to replace the condition **(b)** by the condition (1.4).

Remark 1.2. *In the recent work by Hu et al. [2], the condition* ***(b)*** *is replaced by the condition (1.4), and with the additional assumption that* $[M]$ *is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure for* $H > \frac{1}{2}$*. The authors show that the conditions* ***(a)****,* ***(c)****, and (1.4) also characterize fractional Brownian motion. In our work we do not suppose the absolute continuity of* $[M]$ *but prove it under other assumptions; however, we restrict ourselves to* ***(b)****.*

In the next we give one auxiliary result. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof, first for $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and then for $H < \frac{1}{2}$.

2. *Auxiliary result.*

2.1. *Martingales and random variables.* In the proof we use random variables, which are final values of martingales of a special type. All martingales vanish at zero.

Two continuous martingales M, N are *(strongly) orthogonal* if $[M, N] = 0$; we write this as $M \perp N$. Integration by parts gives that for such M, N the product MN is a local martingale, and then it has a bracket $[MN]$. We use the notation $N \cdot M$ for the stochastic integral of N with respect to M: $(N \cdot M)_t = \int_0^t N_s dM_s$. Let M be a continuous martingale. Put $I_2(M)_t := (M \cdot M)_t = \int_0^t M_s dM_s$.

Let $0 < a < b < t$ and p, q are deterministic continuous functions. Define martingales N and $\tilde{N}$ by $N_s = \int_0^s p_u 1_{(0,a]}(u) dM_u$ and $\tilde{N}_s = \int_0^s q_u 1_{(a,b]}(u) dM_u$. The martingales N and $\tilde{N}$ are orthogonal by construction, and hence their product is a martingale. Note that $N_s \tilde{N}_s = 0$, whenever $s \le a$ and $N_s \tilde{N}_s = N_a \tilde{N}_s$ for $s > a$. The bracket of the martingale $N\tilde{N}$ is $[N\tilde{N}]_s = 0$ whenever $s \le a$ and $[N\tilde{N}]_s = N_a^2 [\tilde{N}]_s$ for $s > a$.

For orthogonal martingales we have following lemma, which we use in our proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that* $(M_t^{n,k})_{t \ge 0}$ *is a double array of continuous square integrable martingales with the properties*

(i) With n *fixed and* $k \ne l$ $M^{n,k}$ *and* $M^{n,l}$ *are orthogonal martingales.*
(ii) For any $t \ge 0$ $\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} [M^{n,k}]_t \le C$*, where* C *is a constant.*
(iii) For any $t \ge 0$ $\max_k [M^{n,k}]_t \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$*,*

where $1 \le k \le k_n$ *and* $k_n \to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$*. Then for any* $t \ge 0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} I_2(M^{n,k})_t \overset{L^2(\mathrm{P})}{\to} 0 \tag{2.1}$$

as $n \to \infty$*.*

Proof. Since the martingales $M^{n,k}$ are pairwise orthogonal, when n is fixed, the same is true for the iterated integrals $I_2(M^{n,k})$. Recall [1, Theorem 1, p. 354], which states that $\mathrm{E}\left(I_2(M^{n,k})_t\right)^2 \le B_{2,2}\mathrm{E}[M^{n,k}]_t^2$, here $B_{2,2}$ is constant independent of n, t and k, and this together with *(ii)* gives that the iterated integrals $I_2(M^{n,k})$ are square integrable. Hence by the orthogonality of the iterated integrals

$$\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} I_2(M^{n,k})_t\right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathrm{E}\left(I_2(M^{n,k})_t\right)^2.$$

But

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} [M^{n,k}]_t^2 \le \max_k [M^{n,k}]_t \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} [M^{n,k}]_t \overset{\mathrm{P}}{\to} 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. The claim (2.1) now follows, since $\max_k [M^{n,k}]_t \le \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} [M^{n,k}]_t$, and this together with *(ii)* gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} [M^{n,k}]_t^2 \le \max_k [M^{n,k}]_t \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} [M^{n,k}]_t \le C^2.$$

$\square$

2.2. *A consequence of* ***(b)***.

We fix now t and let $\mathcal{R}_t := \{s \in [0,t] : \frac{s}{t} \in \mathrm{Q}\}$. Note that the set $\mathcal{R}_t$ is a dense set on the interval $[0,t]$. Fix now also $s \in \mathcal{R}_t$ and let $\tilde{n} = \tilde{n}(s)$ be a subsequence of $n \in \mathrm{N}$ such that $\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t} \in \mathrm{N}$. Put $\Delta X_{t_{k,n}} := X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}}$.

The next lemma opens the way to bound the bracket $[M]$ on $[0,T]$ for any $T > 0$ from below and from above, and this goal will be achieved in the section 3.4.

Lemma 2.2. *Fix* $t > 0$*,* $s \in \mathcal{R}_t$ *and suppose that* $\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t} \in \mathrm{N}$ *and* $\tilde{n} \to \infty$*. Then*

$$\tilde{n}^{2H-1} \sum_{k=\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t}+1}^{\tilde{n}} \left(\Delta X_{t_{k,\tilde{n}}}\right)^2 \overset{L^1(\mathrm{P})}{\longrightarrow} t^{2H-1}(t-s).$$

PROOF. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{n}^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t}}(\Delta X_{t_{k,\tilde{n}}})^2 &= \tilde{n}^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t}}(\Delta X_{s_{k,\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t}}})^2\\ &= \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{2H-1}\cdot\left(\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t}\right)^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t}}(\Delta X_{s_{k,\tilde{n}\frac{s}{t}}})^2\\ &\xrightarrow{L^1(\mathrm{P})} s^{2H}\cdot\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{2H-1} = st^{2H-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{n}^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{n}}(\Delta X_{t_{k,\tilde{n}}})^2 \xrightarrow{L^1(\mathrm{P})} t^{2H}$, we obtain the proof. $\square$

In what follows we shall write n pro $\tilde{n}$ and t_k pro $t\frac{k}{n}$.

2.3. *Some representation results.*

We shall use the following notation. Put $Y_t = \int_0^t s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}dX_s$; then we have $X_t = \int_0^t s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dY_s$ and we can write the fundamental martingale M as

$$M_t = \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}dY_s. \tag{2.2}$$

We work also with the martingale $W_t = \int_0^t s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dM_s$. We have $[W]_t = \int_0^t s^{2H-1}d[M]_s$ and $[M]_t = \int_0^t s^{1-2H}d[W]_s$.

The equation (2.2) is a generalized Abel integral equation and the process Y can be expressed in terms of the process M:

$$Y_t = \frac{1}{\Gamma(H+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-H)}\int_0^t (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dM_s. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that all the integrals can be understood as pathwise Riemann-Stieltjes integrals (see [6]).

3. *Proof of Theorem 1.1: $H > \frac{1}{2}$.*

3.1. *Basic representation.* Now we shall prove that M is a martingale with a bracket $c_H t^{2-2H}$ for some constant c_H and this, together with Lemma 3.1, will give that X is a fractional Brownian motion with index H.

We shall use the following modified representation result between X and M.

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and **(a)** and **(c)**. Then the process X has the representation*

$$X_t = \frac{1}{B_1} \int_0^t \left(\int_u^t s^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (s-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \, ds \right) dM_u, \tag{3.1}$$

with $B_1 = B(H - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - H)$.

PROOF. Integration by parts in (2.3) gives:

$$Y_t = \frac{1}{B_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} M_s ds.$$

Next, by using integration by parts and Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t &= \int_0^t s^{H-\frac{1}{2}} dY_s = t^{H-\frac{1}{2}} Y_t - \Big(H - \frac{1}{2}\Big) \int_0^t s^{H-\frac{3}{2}} Y_s ds \\
&= \frac{t^{H-\frac{1}{2}}}{B_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} M_s ds - \frac{H-\frac{1}{2}}{B_1} \int_0^t s^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^s (s-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} M_u du ds \\
&= \frac{t^{H-\frac{1}{2}}}{(H-\frac{1}{2})B_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} dM_s - \frac{1}{B_1} \int_0^t s^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^s (s-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} dM_u ds \\
&= \frac{t^{H-\frac{1}{2}}}{(H-\frac{1}{2})B_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} dM_s - \frac{1}{B_1} \int_0^t \left[\int_u^t s^{H-\frac{3}{2}} (s-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} ds \right] dM_u \\
&= \frac{1}{B_1} \int_0^t \left[\frac{t^{H-\frac{1}{2}}}{H-\frac{1}{2}} (t-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \int_u^t s^{H-\frac{3}{2}} (s-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} ds \right] dM_u \\
&= \frac{1}{B_1} \int_0^t \left[\int_u^t s^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (s-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} ds \right] dM_u.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves claim (3.1). □

Now our plan is the following one: we suppose to prove that M is a martingale with the bracket $C_H t^{2-2H}$ and this, together with Lemma 3.1, will give that X is a fractional Brownian motion with parameter H.

3.2. *The basic estimation.* We can assume that the processes M, W, $[M]$ and $[W]$ are bounded with a deterministic constant L. If this is not the case, consider a stopping time τ,

$$\tau = \inf\{s : |M_s| \geq L \text{ or } |W_s| \geq L \text{ or } [M]_s \geq L \text{ or } [W]_s \geq L\}.$$

Note that τ is independent of the partition (t_k^n), $k = 0, \ldots, n$, and hence we have

$$1_{\{\tau \geq t\}} n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (\Delta X_{t_{k,n}})^2 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 1_{\{\tau \geq t\}} t^{2H}.$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$ take L big enough so that $\mathrm{P}(\tau < t) < \epsilon$. Since our asymptotic results concern convergence in probability, it is enough to prove them only in the set $\{\tau \geq t\}$. We do not write the stopping time τ nor the indicator $1_{\{\tau \geq t\}}$ explicitly in the proof below.

We want to use the expression

$$n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n} \left(\Delta X_{t_{k,n}}\right)^2$$

to obtain estimates for the increment of the bracket $[M]$, with the help of (3.1).

Use (3.1) to obtain

$$\Delta X_{t_{k,n}} = \frac{1}{B_1}\left(\int_0^{t_{k-1}} f_k^t(s)\, dM_s + \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} g_k^t(s)\, dM_s\right), \tag{3.2}$$

where we used the notation

$$f_k^t(s) := \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} du \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$g_k^t(s) := \int_s^{t_k} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} du.$$

Rewrite the increment of X as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta X_{t_{k,n}} &= \frac{1}{B_1}\left(I_k^{n,1} + I_k^{n,2} + I_k^{n,3}\right) := \\ &\frac{1}{B_1}\left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} f_k^t(s) dM_s + \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} f_k^t(s) dM_s + \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} g_k^t(s) dM_s\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We need such decomposition because the behavior of the kernels in the integrands is different for different arguments. Now we intend to use this decomposition and to show that the sequence $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n}\left(\Delta X_{t_{k,n}}\right)^2$ verifies relation **(e)** from section 3.4. In order to do this we use Lemma 3.1, decompose the increment $\Delta X_{t_{k,n}}$ according to (3.4) into several terms and apply Itô formula to the square of the increments. Then we try to find asymptotically non-trivial terms and terms of order $o_{\mathrm{P}}(1)$, and non-trivial terms must be of the form that will be appropriate for finding the bounds for $[M]$. We can mention even now that the nontrivial terms will appear when we consider the sums of the form $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}(f_k^t(u))^2 d[M]_u$

etc. So, at first we estimate the sums of such form and only then consider the remainder terms.

Let us mention that the random variables $I_k^{n,j}$ are the final values at moment t of the martingales $\int_0^{t_{k-2}\wedge v} f_k^t(u)dM_u$, $\int_{t_{k-2}\wedge v}^{t_{k-1}\wedge v} f_k^t(u)dM_u$ and $\int_{t_{k-1}\wedge v}^{t_k\wedge v} g_k^t(u)dM_u$, $0\le v\le t$, correspondingly. By construction, these martingales are orthogonal.

Next, the following upper bound holds for the functions f_k^t:

$$f_k^t(s)\le t_k^{H-\frac12}\,(t_{k-1}-s)^{H-\frac32}\,\frac{t}{n}; \tag{3.5}$$

note that this estimate is finite (not bounded) for $s\in[0,t_{k-1})$, and bounded for $s\in[0,t_{k-2}]$. Further we need the following technical result.

Lemma 3.2. *For $u<s$ we have*

$$\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}(t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3}\le(s+\frac{t}{n}-u)^{2H-3}+\frac{n}{(2-2H)t}(s+\frac{t}{n}-u)^{2H-2} \tag{3.6}$$

and for $u\le t_i$ we have

$$\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}(t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3}\le(t_{i+1}-u)^{2H-3}+\frac{n}{(2-2H)t}(t_{i+1}-u)^{2H-2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. For $u<s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}(t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3} &= \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-3}+\frac{n}{t}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+3}^{n}(t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3}\frac{t}{n}\\ &\le \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-3}+\frac{n}{(2-2H)t}(s+\frac{t}{n}-u)^{2H-2}\end{aligned}$$

by estimating the second sum in the first line from above by the integral. This proves (3.6). The inequality (3.7) is proved in the same way. □

Now we can give two-sided bounds for the brackets of the martingales in (3.4). As it was mentioned before, these brackets create non-trivial terms in our estimates.

Lemma 3.3. *Fix $t>0$ and $s\in\mathcal{R}_t$, and let $\tilde n$ be such that $\tilde n\frac{s}{t}\in$ N and $\tilde n\to\infty$ (we write n instead of $\tilde n$ in what follows). Then there exist two* *constants* $C_1, C_2 > 0$ *such that we have*

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 t^{2H-1}\int_{s-\frac{t}{n}}^{t-2\frac{t}{n}} u^{2H-1} d[M]_u &\le n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}} (f_k^t(u))^2 d[M]_u \\ &\le C_2 t^{4H-2}\left([M]_t - [M]_s\right) + o_{\mathrm{P}}(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. We will not write the constants explicitly.

Upper bound in (3.8). At first we estimate

$$i^n := n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}} (f_k^t(u))^2 d[M]_u$$

from above. From (3.5) we obtain the following estimate for i^n:

$$i^n \le n^{2H-3} t^{2H+1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}} (t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3} d[M]_u. \tag{3.9}$$

We can assume that $0 < s < t$ and $2 \le n\frac{s}{t} \le n-4$, and rewrite the estimate in (3.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} i^n &\le n^{2H-3} t^{2H+1}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} + \sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}\Big)\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3} d[M]_u \\ &= n^{2H-3} t^{2H+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\Big(\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} (t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3}\Big) d[M]_u \\ &\quad + n^{2H-3} t^{2H+1}\sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\Big(\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} (t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3}\Big) d[M]_u. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We estimate the first term in the last equation in (3.10) by (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^t &:= n^{2H-3} t^{2H+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} (t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3} d[M]_u \\ &\le t^{2H-1}\int_0^s \Big(t^2 (ns+t-nu)^{2H-3} \\ &\quad + \frac{t}{2-2H}(ns+t-u)^{2H-2}\Big) d[M]_u; \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

note that $(ns+t-nu)^{2H-3}$ and $(ns+t-nu)^{2H-2}$ are bounded and both converge to 0, as $n \to \infty$. So $R_n^t = o_{\mathrm{P}}(1)$ by the dominated convergence theorem.

For the second term in the last equation of (3.10) we obtain from (3.7) using the estimate $(t_{i+1}-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}$ and summing:

$$n^{2H-3}t^{2H+1} \sum_{i=\frac{ns}{t}+1}^{n} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} [(t_{i+1}-u)^{2H-3} + \frac{n}{(2-2H)t}(t_{i+1}-u)^{2H-2}]d[M]_u$$
$$\le c_H t^{4H-2}([M]_t - [M]_s).$$

Hence we have proved the upper bound (3.8) and have

$$i^n \le c_H t^{4H-2}([M]_t - [M]_s) + o_{\mathrm{P}}(1).$$

Lower bound in (3.8). We finish the proof of Lemma 3.3 by giving the lower bound. From the definition of i^n we easily obtain a lower estimate

$$i^n \ge n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} \int_{t_{k-3}}^{t_{k-2}} (f_k^t(u))^2 d[M]_u. \tag{3.12}$$

Further, for $u \in (t_{k-3}, t_{k-2}), v \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)$ we have $v - u \le \frac{3}{n}t$, $u < v$, and we get the estimate

$$\left(f_k^t(u)\right)^2 \ge 3^{2H-3} t^{2H-1} n^{1-2H} u^{2H-1}. \tag{3.13}$$

We use (3.13) in the lower bound (3.12) to obtain

$$i^n \ge 3^{2H-3}t^{2H-1} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} \int_{t_{k-3}}^{t_{k-2}} u^{2H-1} d[M]_u = 3^{2H-3}t^{2H-1} \int_{s-\frac{t}{n}}^{t-2\frac{t}{n}} u^{2H-1} d[M]_u,$$

and this gives the lower bound in (3.8). The proof of Lemma 3.3 is now finished. ☐

REMARK 3.1. *Evidently, we can rewrite i^n similarly to (3.10) as*

$$\begin{aligned} i^n &= n^{2H-3}t^{2H+1}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} + \sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=i+2}^{n}\Big) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (f_k^t(u))^2 d[M]_u \\ &= n^{2H-3}t^{2H+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} (f_k^t(u))^2 d[M]_u \qquad (3.14) \\ &\quad + n^{2H-3}t^{2H+1} \sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sum_{k=i+2}^{n} (f_k^t(u))^2 d[M]_u, \end{aligned}$$

and obtain from (3.5) *and similarly to* (3.11) *that*

$$n^{2H-3}t^{2H+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}(f_k^t(u))^2d[M]_u\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}}0, \tag{3.15}$$

change summation indices for further convenience, and deduce from (3.14) *and* (3.15) *that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{P}-\lim_{n\to\infty}i^n\\ &= \mathrm{P}-\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{2H-3}t^{2H+1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\sum_{i=k+2}^{n}(f_i^t(u))^2d[M]_u.\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Now we return to (3.4), take the bracket of the next term and so estimate the term

$$\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}(f_k^t(s))^2d[M]_s.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *There exists a constant $C_3>0$ such that*

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}(f_k^t(u))^2d[M]_u\le C_3t^{4H-2}([M]_t-[M]_s). \tag{3.17}$$

PROOF. We have the following upper estimate for the function f_k^t:

$$\begin{aligned}f_k^t(u) &\le t_k^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(v-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}dv\\ &= \frac{1}{H-\frac{1}{2}}t_k^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\left((t_k-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}-(t_{k-1}-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\\ &\le \frac{1}{H-\frac{1}{2}}t^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives the claim (3.17).

□

The last estimate for nontrivial terms in (3.4) concerns the terms of the form

$$\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(g_k^t(s))^2d[M]_s.$$

Lemma 3.5. *There exists a constant C_4 such that*

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(g_k^t(u))^2d[M]_u\le C_4t^{4H-2}\left([M]_t-[M]_s\right). \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_k^t(z) &= \int_z^{t_k}v^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(v-z)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}dv\le(t_k)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{(t_k-z)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}}{H-\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le C(t_k)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\frac{t}{n}\Big)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\le Ct^{2H-1}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\Big)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the claim (3.18). □

3.3. *The* $o_{\mathrm{P}}(1)$ *terms.* Now we shall prove that after the decomposition of the increment $\Delta X_{t_{k,n}}$ according to (3.4), taking square of this increment and applying Itô formula to the decomposition, all the terms except the three brackets of the martingales become asymptotically trivial. In this order we take the terms of the form $(I_k^{n,j})^2$, $j=1,2,3$ and decompose them by Itô formula on the bracket and martingale part and also prove that the terms containing the cross products $I_k^{n,i}I_k^{n,j}$, $i\neq j$, are asymptotically trivial. More exactly, the Itô formula implies that

$$(I_k^{n,1})^2=\int_0^{t_{k-2}}(f_k^t(v))^2d[M]_v+2\int_0^{t_{k-2}}f_k^t(u)\left(\int_0^u f_k^t(v)dM_v\right)dM_u.$$

We shall show that

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}f_k^t(u)\left(\int_0^u f_k^t(v)dM_v\right)dM_u\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}}0, \tag{3.19}$$

as $n\to\infty$. Evidently, it is sufficient to consider the sums of the form

$$S^n=n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=3}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}\Big(\int_0^u f_k^t(s)dM_s\Big)f_k^t(u)dM_u,$$

(note that $n\frac{s}{t}\ge 1$), since the sums

$$\sum_{k=3}^{n\frac{s}{t}+1}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}\Big(\int_0^u f_k^t(s)dM_s\Big)f_k^t(u)dM_u$$

for $n\frac{s}{t} \geq 2$ can be considered in a similar way. We rewrite S^n as

$$S^n = n^{2H-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}(\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)\int_0^u f_k^t(s)dM_s)dM_u = n^{2H-1}\int_0^{t_{n-2}} \Upsilon_{u,n}^M dM_u,$$

where

$$\Upsilon_{u,n}^M = \sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)\int_0^u f_k^t(s)dM_s, \quad u \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)\,.$$

We use the following version of Lenglart inequality: if N is locally square integrable continuous martingale, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 0$ and $A > 0$

$$\mathrm{P}\{\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|N(s)| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{A}{\varepsilon^2} + \mathrm{P}\{[N]_t \geq A\}. \tag{3.20}$$

It follows from inequality (3.20) that it is sufficient to prove the relation

$$n^{4H-2}\int_0^{t_{n-2}}(\Upsilon_{u,n}^M)^2 d[M]u \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.21}$$

First, using integration by parts, we estimate the function

$$\Upsilon_{u,n}^M = \sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)[f_k^t(u)M_u - \int_0^u M_s(f_k^t(s))_s' ds], \quad u \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)\,.$$

Evidently,

$$\Big(f_k^t(u)\Big)_u' = \Big(\frac{3}{2} - H\Big)\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} v^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(v-u)^{H-\frac{5}{2}}dv.$$

Therefore,

$$|\Upsilon_{u,n}^M| \leq L\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}(f_k^t(u))^2$$

$$+L\Big(\frac{3}{2} - H\Big)\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)\int_0^u\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} v^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(v-s)^{H-\frac{5}{2}}dv\,ds, \quad u \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)\,.$$

We estimate the terms separately: since $f_k^t(u) \leq \frac{t^{H+\frac{1}{2}}}{n}(t_{k-1}-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}$, we have that for $u \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$

$$\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}(f_k^t(u))^2 \leq \frac{t^{2H+1}}{n^2}\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}(t_{k-1}-u)^{2H-3} \leq \frac{t^{2H+1}}{n^2}(t_{i+1}-u)^{2H-3}$$

$$+\frac{t^{2H+1}}{n}\int_{t_{i+1}}^{1}(tx-u)^{2H-3}dx \le \frac{t^{4H-2}}{n^{2H-1}}+\frac{t^{2H}}{n}\frac{(t_{i+1}-u)^{2H-2}}{2-2H}\le Cn^{1-2H},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)\int_0^u\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} v^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(v-s)^{H-\frac{5}{2}}dvds \le C\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} v^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(v-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}dv$$

$$\le C\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}(f_k^t(u))^2\le Cn^{1-2H}.$$

From these estimates, it follows that $n^{4H-2}(\Upsilon_{u,n}^M)^2\le C$. Therefore, there exists the bounded dominant in (3.21). So, in order to establish (3.19), it is sufficient to prove that $\Upsilon_{u,n}^M n^{2H-1}\overset{\mathrm{P}}{\to}0$, $0<u<t$. We have that

(3.22)

$$\mathrm{E}(\Upsilon_{u,n}^M n^{2H-1})^2 = n^{4H-2}\mathrm{E}\int_0^u\left(\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)f_k^t(s)\right)^2 d[M]_s,\quad u\in[t_{i-1},t_i)\,.$$

Similarly to previous estimates, we obtain that

$$n^{4H-2}\left(\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)f_k^t(s)\right)^2\le Cn^{4H-2}\Bigg(\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}\frac{1}{n^2}\left(t_{k-1}-u\right)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\times\left(t_{k-1}-s\right)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}\Bigg)^2\le Cn^{4H-4}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}\left(t_{k-1}-u\right)^{2H-3}\right)^2$$

$$\le Cn^{4H-4}\left(\frac{n^{3-2H}}{n}+n^{2-2H}\right)^2\le C,\ \text{for some}\ C>0.$$

This means that the bounded dominant in (3.22) exists. Moreover,

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)f_k^t(s)\le Cn^{2H-1}\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} f_k^t(u)\cdot\frac{1}{n}(u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\le Cn^{2H-1}\cdot\frac{1}{n}\int_{\frac{i+1}{n}}^{1} v^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(v-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}dv\cdot(u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}\to 0$$

for any $s<u$. Keeping together, this means that $\Upsilon_{u,n}^M n^{2H-1}\overset{\mathrm{P}}{\to}0$, $0<u<1$, whence $S^n\overset{\mathrm{P}}{\to}0$ consequently (3.19) holds. Consider next the sums

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} f_k^t(u)\int_{t_{k-2}}^{u} f_k^t(v)dM_v dM_u$$

and

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} g_k^t(u)\int_{t_{k-1}}^{u} g_k^t(v)dM_v dM_u.$$

The assumptions of the Lemma 2.1 are satisfied with martingales

$$N_v^{n,k} := n^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{t_{k-2}\wedge v}^{t_{k-1}\wedge v} f_k^t(u)dM_u$$

and

$$\tilde{N}_v^{n,k} := n^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{t_{k-1}\wedge v}^{t_k\wedge v} g_k^t(u)dM_u.$$

Indeed, the property (ii) follows from (3.17) and (3.18) and property (iii) can be checked easily. Hence both sums are of the order $o_{\mathrm{P}}(1)$. The next statement is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3 and (3.19). There exist two constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 t^{2H-1}\int_s^t u^{2H-1}d[M]_u \tag{3.23}$$

$$\le \mathrm{P}-\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=\frac{ns}{t}+1}^{n}(I_k^{n,1})^2 \le C_2 t^{4H-2}([M]_t-[M]_s).$$

Similarly, one can show that the cross product sums with $i \neq j$ satisfy $n^{2H-1}\sum_k I_k^{n,i}I_k^{n,j} = o_{\mathrm{P}}(1)$. Indeed, let $i = 1$ and $j = 2$, other cases can be considered similarly. We have that in this case

$$n^{4H-2}\mathrm{E}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k^{n,1}I_k^{n,2}\Big)^2 = n^{4H-2}\mathrm{E}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(I_k^{n,1})^2 J_k^{n,2},$$

where $J_k^{n,2} = \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}(f_k^t(s))^2 d[M]_s$, since $I_k^{n,1}$, $I_k^{n,2}$, $I_k^{n,3}$ are pairwise orthogonal. Moreover, the product sum $n^{2H-1}\sum_k I_k^{n,i}I_k^{n,j}$ can be considered as a final value of a square integrable martingale with quadratic characteristic $\sum_{k=1}^{n}(I_k^{n,1})^2 J_k^{n,2}$. So, it follows from Lenglart inequality that it is sufficient to prove the relation

$$n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(I_k^{n,1})^2 J_k^{n,2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0. \tag{3.24}$$

According to (3.23) we have that

$$\mathrm{P}-\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(I_k^{n,1})^2 \le C_2 t^{4H-2}[M]_t$$

and also

$$n^{2H-1}\max_{1\le k\le n}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}(f_k^t(s))^2d[M]_s\le\Big(H-\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{-2}\max_{1\le k\le n}([M]_{t_{k-1}}-[M]_{t_{k-2}})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}}0,$$

whence (3.24) follows.

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case $H>\frac{1}{2}$.

3.4. *End of the proof for the case* $H>\frac{1}{2}$. Suppose for the moment that we consider the fixed interval $[0,t]$. By using our estimates, we can conclude that for rational s, consequently for any $s<t$, the following claims hold:

(d) there exist two constants, $C_1>0$ and $C_2>0$ such that

$$C_1\int_s^t u^{2H-1}d[M]_u\le t-s\le C_2t^{2H-1}([M]_t-[M]_s).$$

This estimate can be rewritten in terms of W and $[W]$ (recall that $W_t=\int_0^t s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dM_s$):

$$C_1([W]_t-[W]_s)\le t-s\le C_2t^{2H-1}\int_s^t u^{1-2H}d[W]_u.$$

(e)

$$\mathrm{P}-\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n}(\triangle X_{t_k})^2=\mathrm{P}-\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_s^t\varphi_n^t(u)d[M]_u,$$

where we can take $\varphi_n^t(u)$ from (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) and they equal

$$\varphi_n^t(u)=(n^{2H-3}t^{2H+1}\sum_{i=k+2}^{n}(f_i^t(u))^2+n^{2H-1}(g_k^t(u))^2)1_{\{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k)\}}$$

$$+n^{2H-1}(f_k^t(u))^21_{\{u\in[t_{k-2},t_{k-1})\}}.$$

Evidently, $\varphi_n^t(u)$ are positive, bounded, nonrandom functions and it follows from (3.13) that they are separated from 0 by some constant multiplied by u^{2H-1}.

From the left-hand side of (d), it follows that $[W]_t$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, so $[W]_t=\int_0^t\theta_sds$, where θ_s is a bounded, possibly, random, variable. From the right-hand side of (d), it follows that

$$\int_s^t u^{1-2H}\theta_udu\ge\frac{1}{C_2}(t^{2-2H}-st^{1-2H})\ge C_3(t^{2-2H}-s^{2-2H})=C_3\int_s^t u^{1-2H}du.$$

This means that

$$\int_s^t u^{1-2H}(\theta_u - C_3)du \geq 0,$$

whence we immediately obtain that $\theta_u(\omega) > C_3 > 0$ for almost all u, ω, conclude that $[W]$ is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure, and so $W_t = \int_0^t \theta_s^{\frac{1}{2}} dV_s$, where $\{V_s, \mathrm{F}_s, s \geq 0\}$ is some Wiener process.

Now, if we do all the same calculations as before, but for "true" fractional Brownian motion B_t^H, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{P} - \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n} (\triangle B_{t_{k,n}}^H)^2 &= \mathrm{P} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_s^t \varphi_s^n s^{2H-1} ds \\ &= t^{2H-1}(t-s).\end{aligned}$$

(It is sufficient to take $s = 0$.) Therefore, $\mathrm{P} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_s^t \psi_u^n du = 0$, where $\psi_u^n = u^{2H-1}\varphi_u^n(\theta_u - 1)$.

From here we obtain that $\theta_u \equiv 1$ (otherwise, consider the set $D = \{(\omega, u)\colon \theta_u > 1 + \alpha, \text{ or } \theta_u < 1 - \alpha\}$ for $\alpha > 0$; evidently, it has zero measure).

4. ***The proof of Theorem 1.1: $H < \frac{1}{2}$.*** For $H < \frac{1}{2}$ we use, in general, principally the same ideas. However, technical details are different. Indeed, it is well-known (see e.g., [6]) that the kernel $z(t, s)$ participating in the representation of X via M or W (see (4.2)) is more complicated in the case $H < \frac{1}{2}$. The brackets of the martingales that are to be estimated as before, also have additional singularity, because power $2H - 1$ or other powers of such form are now negative. Therefore the proofs are more technical, and the reasons for this will be mentioned below in all such places.

4.1. *Starting point.* At first, consider the Hölder properties of the processes involved. We can note the following: since $H < \frac{1}{2}$, it is very simple to prove, using integration by parts, that the process Y has the same Hölder properties as X, i.e., it is Hölder up to order H. Further, it follows from Lemma 2.1 [6] that M is Hölder up to order $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, for any $0 < s_0 \leq s < t \leq T$ and $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ there exists such constant $K = K_{s_0,\beta}$ that $|W_t - W_s| \leq K_{s_0,\beta}(t-s)^\beta$. Now it is more convenient to consider W instead of M. We shall show the following inequality

$$C_1\left([W]_t - [W]_s\right) \leq t - s \leq C_2\left([W]_t - [W]_s\right) \tag{4.1}$$

first for arbitrary $t > 0$ and $s \in \mathcal{R}_t$, $s < t$. Recall that we can assume the processes W and $[W]$ to be bounded, as in section 3.2.

For $H < \frac{1}{2}$ we use the following representation result, which can be proved as [6, Theorem 5.2].

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $H < \frac{1}{2}$ and* **(a)** *and* **(c)***. Then the process X has the representation*

$$X_t = \int_0^t z(t,s) dW_s, \tag{4.2}$$

with the kernel

$$z(t,s) = \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{1/2-H} (t-s)^{H-1/2} - (H-1/2)s^{1/2-H} \int_s^t u^{H-3/2}(u-s)^{H-1/2} du.$$

Put

$$p_k^t(z) = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (\frac{z}{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(u-z)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} du$$

for $z < t_{k-1}$.

Using Lemma 4.1 and integration by parts we can now write the increment of X as

$$\begin{aligned} X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right)\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(s)dW_s + \left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right)\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} p_k^t(s)dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left(\frac{s}{t_k}\right)^{1/2-H} (t_k-s)^{H-1/2} dW_s \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right)\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} s^{1/2-H} \int_s^{t_k} u^{H-3/2}(u-s)^{H-1/2} du dW_s \\ &=: J_k^{n,1} + J_k^{n,2} + J_k^{n,3} + J_k^{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} (\Delta X_{t_k})^2 &= \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1} \Big(\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} \left(J_k^{n,1}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} \left(J_k^{n,2} + J_k^{n,3} + J_k^{n,4}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} J_k^{n,1}\left(J_k^{n,2} + J_k^{n,3} + J_k^{n,4}\right)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

As before,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} \left(\Delta X_{t_{k,n}}\right)^2 \overset{L^1(\mathrm{P})}{\to} t^{2H-1}(t-s). \tag{4.3}$$

First, estimate

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} \left(J_k^{n,1}\right)^2$$

from below and from above. We start with the analog of Lemma 3.3.

4.2. *Two-sided estimates for the sums* $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=\frac{ns}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}\left(p_k^t(z)\right)^2 d[W]_z$ *and* $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(J_k^{n,1}\right)^2$. Put

$$j^{n,1} = n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=\frac{ns}{t}+2}^{n} \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \left(p_k^t(z)\right)^2 d[W]_z.$$

We decompose this sum as in the case of the proof $H > \frac{1}{2}$ (see (3.10) and (3.14)):

$$j^{n,1} := n^{2H-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}} \sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} + \sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=i+2}^{n}\right) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(p_k^t(u)\right)^2 d[W]_u.$$

Evidently, for $s \le t_{k-2}$

$$p_k^t(s) \le \left((t_{k-1}-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}\frac{t}{n}\right) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}-H}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Therefore, for such n that $n\frac{s}{t} \in \mathrm{N}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
j^{n,1} \le{}& n^{2H-2} t \int_0^s \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2} d[W]_u + \\
& n^{2H-3}t^2 \int_0^s \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-3} d[W]_u + \\
& \frac{t}{2-2H}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2H-2} n^{2H-2} \sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} d[W]_u + \\
& t^2 n^{2H-3} \sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} d[W]_u \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2H-3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We divide the integral $\int_0^s \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2} d[W]_u$ into two parts, $\int_0^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2} d[W]_u$ and $\int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{s} \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2} d[W]_u$. The first integral can be estimated as

$$\int_0^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2} d[W]_u \le \left(\frac{s}{2}+\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2H-2} [W]_{\frac{s}{2}},$$

whence $n^{2H-2}t\int_0^{\frac{s}{2}}\left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2}d[W]_u \to 0, n\to\infty$ a.s. As to the second part, we apply the following inequality from [6]: let the function $f:[a,b]\to R$ is Hölder on $[a,b]$ of order β, $|f(t)-f(s)|\le K|t-s|^{\beta}$. Then for any $\rho>-1+\beta$ and $b<v$ we have that

$$|\int_a^b (v-u)^{\rho}df(u)| \le K\Big(1+\Big|\frac{\rho}{\rho+\beta}\Big|\Big)((v-b)^{\rho+\beta}+(v-a)^{\rho+\beta}). \tag{4.5}$$

According to Hölder properties of W, mentioned above, we can take any $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ and define for any $r\in(\frac{s}{2},t]$ the random variable

$$K_r(\omega)=\sup_{\frac{s}{2}\le u<v\le r}\frac{|W_v-W_u|}{(v-u)^{\beta}}.$$

Evidently, $\mathrm{P}\{K_t(\omega)\ge N\}\to 0$ $,N\to\infty$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that $\int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{s\wedge\tau_N}\left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2}d[W]_u n^{2H-2}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0$ $,N\to\infty$ for any $N>1$, where $\tau_N=\inf\{r\ge\frac{s}{2}:K_r\ge N\}\wedge t$. According to Burkholder-Gundy inequality and (4.5),

$$n^{2H-2}\mathrm{E}\Big(\int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{s\wedge\tau_N}\Big(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\Big)^{2H-2}d[W]_u\Big)$$

$$\le Cn^{2H-2}\mathrm{E}\Big(\int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{s\wedge\tau_N}\Big(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\Big)^{H-1}dW_u\Big)^2$$

$$\le CN^2n^{2H-2}\Big(\frac{\beta}{H+\beta-1}\Big)\Big(\Big(\frac{t}{n}\Big)^{H+\beta-1}+\Big(\frac{s}{2}+\frac{t}{n}\Big)^{H+\beta-1}\Big)^2\to 0, n\to\infty.$$

At last, we obtain that $n^{2H-2}\int_0^s\left(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\right)^{2H-2}d[W]_u\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0, n\to\infty.$

The same is true for

$$\int_0^s\Big(s+\frac{t}{n}-u\Big)^{2H-3}d[W]_u\cdot n^{2H-3}.$$

The last two integrals from (4.4) admit the evident estimate $t^{2H-1}C_2\left([W]_t-[W]_s\right).$

The "remainder" term for $\sum\left(J_1^k\right)^2$, i.e., the difference between $\sum\left(J_1^k\right)^2$ and $j^{n,1}$, equals

$$R_n:=n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}\Big(\int_0^z p_k^t(v)dW_v\Big)p_k^t(u)dW_u.$$

For technical simplicity, it is enough to consider $\sum_{k=3}^{nr}$ for any $r \in \mathrm{N}$, instead of
$\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n} = -\sum_{k=3}^{n\frac{s}{t}+1} + \sum_{k=3}^{n}$. We obtain that

$$\mathrm{E}(R_n)^2 = n^{4H-2}\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{k=3}^{nr}\sum_{i=1}^{k-2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\int_0^u p_k^t(v)dW_v \cdot p_k^t(u)dW_u\right)^2$$

$$= n^{4H-2}\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{nr-2}\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\int_0^u p_k^t(v)dW_v \cdot p_k^t(u)dW_u\right)^2$$

$$= n^{4H-2}\sum_{i=1}^{nr-2}\mathrm{E}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}\int_0^u p_k^t(v)dW_v \cdot p_k^t(u)\right)^2 d[W]_u.$$

Let us estimate

$$\left|\int_0^u p_k^t(v)dW_v\right| = \left|p_k^t(u)W_u - \int_0^u W_v\left(p_k^t(v)\right)_v' dv\right|$$
$$\leq L\left|p_k^t(u)\right| + L\left|\int_0^u \left(p_k^t(v)\right)_v' dv\right|.$$

We have that

$$\left|\int_0^u \left(p_k^t(v)\right)_v' dv\right| = \left|p_k^t(u) - p_k^t(0)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for some} \quad C > 0.$$

Moreover,

$$n^{2H-1}\left(\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr} p_k^t(u)\right)^2 \leq n^{2H-1}\left(\int_{t_{i+1}}^{tr}(v-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}dv\right)^2$$

$$= Cn^{2H-1}\left[-(tr-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + (t_{i+1}-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\right]^2 \leq C,$$

and the integrand

$$n^{4H-2}\left(\sum_{k=i+2}^{nr}\int_0^u p_k^t(v)dW_v \cdot p_k^t(u)\right)^2 \leq C,$$

i.e. there exists the integrable dominant. Therefore, it is sufficient to establish that for any u

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}\int_0^u p_k^t(v)dW_v \cdot p_k^t(u) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0.$$

We take the mathematical expectation in the left-hand side and obtain that

$$n^{4H-2}\mathrm{E}\int_0^u\left(\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}p_k^t(v)p_k^t(u)\right)^2 d[W]_v.$$

Also, here the bounded dominant exists. Indeed,

$$n^{4H-2}\left(\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}p_k^t(v)p_k^t(u)\right)^2\le n^{2H-1}\left(\sum_{k=i+2}^{nr}p_k^t(v)\right)^2\le C,$$

as before. Further, we must prove that

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}p_k^t(v)p_k^t(u)\to 0$$

for all fixed $0<v<u$. We have that

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=i+2}^{nr}p_k^t(v)p_k^t(u)\le n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(s-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}ds$$

$$\times\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(s-v)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}ds\le n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=i+3}^{nr}(t_{k-1}-u)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}\frac{1}{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(s-v)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}ds$$

$$\le n^{2H-2}\left(t_{i+2}-u\right)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}\int_{t_{i+2}}^{tr}(s-v)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}ds$$

$$\le Cn^{H-\frac{3}{2}}(u-v)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}\to 0,\quad n\to\infty\quad\text{for any}\quad 0<v<u.$$

From all these estimates, the remainder term $R_n\overset{\mathrm{P}}{\to}0$.

For the lower bounds, return to $[M]$ instead of $[W]$:

$$j^{n,1}=n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}\left(f_t^k(u)\right)^2 d[M]_u$$

$$\ge t^2n^{2H-3}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}}^{n}(t_k)^{2H-1}\int_0^{t_{k-2}}(t_k-u)^{2H-3}d[M]_u$$

$$\ge t^2n^{2H-3}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n\frac{s}{t}-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}+\sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}\sum_{k=i+2}^{n}\Big)(t_k)^{2H-1}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\Big(t_k-u\Big)^{2H-3}d[M]_u$$

$$= Ct^{2H+1}n^{2H-2}\sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\frac{1}{t}\left((t_{i+2}-u)^{2H-2}-(t-u)^{2H-2}\right)d[M]_u.$$

Note that

$$n^{2H-2}\sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}(t-u)^{2H-2}d[M]_u$$

$$\sim\left(t-t+\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2H-2+\beta}\cdot n^{2H-2}\to 0,\quad n\to\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n}\left(J_1^k\right)^2$$

$$\geq Ct^{2H}n^{2H-2}\sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}(t_{i+2}-u)^{2H-2}\,d[M]_u$$

$$\geq Ct^{2H}n^{2H-2}\sum_{i=n\frac{s}{t}+1}^{n-2}(t_{i+2}-t_{i-1})^{2H-2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}d[M]_u.$$

Combining this with the upper estimate and taking into account the estimate of the remainder term, we have

(4.6)

$$C_1t^{4H-2}\left([M]_t-[M]_s\right)\leq\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(J_1^k\right)^2\leq C_2t^{2H-1}\left([W]_t-[W]_s\right).$$

(Note, that for $H\in(1/2,1)$, we obtained opposite estimates.) Note also that we cannot immediately estimate $\sum\left(J_i^k\right)^2$, $i>1$, from above. Indeed, the integrand of the form $\left(t\frac{l}{n}-u\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}$ that admits the estimate $<\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\to 0$ for $H\in(1/2,1)$, now, for $H\in(0,1/2)$, tends to ∞. So, we can mention that $\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(J_2^k+J_3^k+J_4^k\right)^2\geq 0$, suppose to prove that $\sum J_1^k\left(J_2^k+J_3^k+J_4^k\right)\to 0$, and from this, from condition **(b)** (or (4.3)) and from (4.6) obtain the estimate from above:

$$C_1t^{2H-1}\left([M]_t-[M]_s\right)\leq(t-s).$$

In the sequel, we realize this plan.

4.3. *Auxiliary estimates for "mixed" terms.* We will show that as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$n^{2H-1} \sum_k J_k^{n,1} \left(J_k^{n,2} + J_k^{n,3} + J_k^{n,4} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0. \tag{4.7}$$

It is sufficient to estimate the sums from $k = 2$ till $k = n$. By applying the Lenglart inequality to $n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=2}^n J_k^{n,1} J_k^{n,2}$ as to the final value of corresponding martingale, we obtain that it is sufficient to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{4H-2} \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left(\frac{s}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H} (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} du \, dW_s \right)^2 \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left(\frac{s}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H} (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} du \right)^2 d[W]_s \right) \\ & \le C n^{4H-2} \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(s) dW_s \right)^2 \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1} - s)^{2H-1} \, d[W]_s \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrate the last integral by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1} - s)^{2H-1} \, d[W]_s = (t_{k-1} - t_{k-2})^{2H-1} \left([W]_{t_{k-1}} - [W]_{t_{k-2}} \right) \\ & \quad - (2H-1) \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1} - s)^{2H-2} \left([W]_{t_{k-1}} - [W]_s \right) ds \\ & \le C n^{1-2H} \Delta [W]_{t_{k-1}} + C \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1} - s)^{2H-2} \left([W]_{t_{k-1}} - [W]_s \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now recall that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(s) dW_s \right)^2 \\ & = \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \left(p_k^t(s) \right)^2 d[W]_s + 2 \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \int_0^s p_k^t(v) dW_v \cdot p_k^t(s) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently,

$$\sigma^{n,1} := n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=2}^n \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \left(p_k^t(s) \right)^2 d[W]_s \le j^{n,1},$$

so, it is bounded in probability, and, absolutely similarly to R_n,

$$\sigma^{n,2} := n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=2}^n \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \int_0^s p_k^t(v) dW_v \cdot p_k^t(s) dW_s \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(s)dW_s\right)^2 \cdot Cn^{1-2H}\Delta[W]_{t_{k-1}}$$

$$\leq C\sigma^{n,1}\cdot\max_k \Delta[W]_{t_{k-1}} + C\sigma^{n,2}\cdot\max_k \Delta[W]_{t_{k-1}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0, \quad n\to\infty.$$

Also,

$$n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(s)dW_s\right)^2 \cdot \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1}-s)^{2H-2}$$

$$\times\left([W]_{t_{k-1}}-[W]_s\right)ds \leq C(\omega)\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)n^{2H-1}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}(t_{k-1}-s)^{2H-1-\varepsilon}\,ds$$

$$\leq C(\omega)\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)n^{2H-1}(t_{k-1}-t_{k-2})^{2H-\varepsilon}\sim\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\to 0, \quad n\to\infty.$$

It means that we proved one of the necessary relations: $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=2}^{n} J_k^{n,1}J_k^{n,2}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0$ as $n\to\infty$.

Consider

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=2}^{n} J_k^{n,1}J_k^{n,2} = n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(s)dW_s\cdot\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(\frac{s}{t_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(t_k-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\,dW_s.$$

As before, it is sufficient to prove that

$$n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(s)dW_s\right)^2\cdot\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(\frac{s}{t_k}\right)^{1-2H}(t_k-s)^{2H-1}\,d[W]_s\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0,$$

$$n\to\infty,$$

or, equivalently,

$$n^{2H-1}\max_k\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(t_k-s)^{2H-1}\,d[W]_s\cdot\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that by [6] and due to Hölder properties of $[W]$,

$$\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(t_k-s)^{2H-1}\,d[W]_s\leq C(\omega)\,(t_k-t_{k-1})^{2H-\varepsilon}\sim\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2H-\varepsilon},$$

whence we obtain (4.8).

Now, consider $n^{2H-1}\sum J_k^{n,1}J_k^{n,4}$; other sums can be estimated similarly. After some transformations,

$$n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\int_0^{t_{k-2}} p_k^t(v)dW_u\right)^2\cdot\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} s^{1-2H}\left(\int_s^{t_k} u^{H-\frac{3}{2}}(u-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}du\right)^2 d[W]_s$$

$$\leq n^{2H-1}\max_k\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(\int_s^{t_k} u^{H-\frac{3}{2}}(u-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}du\right)^2 d[W]_s\cdot\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)$$

$$\leq n^{2H-1}\max_k\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(\int_s^{t_k} u^{2H-3}du\cdot\int_s^{t_k}(u-s)^{2H-1}du\right)d[W]_s\cdot\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)$$

$$\leq Cn^{2H-1}\max_k\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} s^{2H-2}\left(t_k-s\right)^{2H}d[W]_s\cdot\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)$$

$$\leq Cn\cdot\frac{1}{n}\max_k\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(t_k-s\right)^{2H-1}d[W]_s\cdot\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)$$

$$\leq C\max_k\left(t_k-t_{k-1}\right)^{2H-\varepsilon}\cdot\left(\sigma^{n,1}+\sigma^{n,2}\right)\to 0,\quad n\to\infty.$$

4.4. *Upper bounds for* $[M]$ *and* $[W]$. Due to all previous estimates we can realize our plan and conclude that

$$t^{2H-1}(t-s)=\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(\Delta X_{t_k}\right)^2\geq C_1 t^{4H-2}\left([M]_t-[M]_s\right),$$

i.e.

$$[M]_t-[M]_s\leq C_2 t^{1-2H}(t-s)=C_2\left(t^{-2H}-st^{1-2H}\right)\leq C_2\left(t^{-2H}-s^{-2H}\right),$$

or

$$\int_s^t u^{1-2H}d[W]_u\leq C_2\int_s^t u^{1-2H}du.$$

As before, it follows that $[W]_t$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure,

$$[W]_t=\int_0^t\theta_s ds,\tag{4.9}$$

$0\leq\theta_s\leq C$, C is some constant, θ_s possibly is random. Of course, it is not our final goal, but now we can proceed with the above estimates for $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(J^{n,i}\right)^2, i>1$ and this, together with condition **(b)** (or (4.3)) and (4.6), will give us possibility to obtain lower bound for $[W]_t-[W]_s$, i.e., to obtain (4.1).

4.5. *Lower bound for* $[W]_t - [W]_s$. We can continue estimates from above: for example, if we take for simplicity the sums over $k = 2$ till $k = n$, then

$$n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(J_k^{n,2} \right)^2 = \widetilde{\sigma}^{n,1} + \widetilde{\sigma}^{n,2}$$

$$:= C n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} \left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left(\frac{s}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H} (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} du \right)^2$$

$$\times\, d[W]_s + C n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} \left(\int_{t_{k-2}}^{u} p_k^t(v) dW_v \right) p_k^t(u) dW_u,$$

and now we need an estimate $p_k^t(s) \le (t_{k-1} - s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} C$.

Therefore,

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{n,1} \le C n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1} - s)^{2H-1} d[W]_s.$$

Direct estimates now give nothing (because of singularity at the upper point t_{k-1}). So, we go by an indirect way: for some $A > 0$,

$$\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1} - s)^{2H-1} d[W]_s \le \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1} - \frac{t}{nA}} + \int_{t_{k-1} - \frac{t}{nA}}^{t_{k-1}}$$

$$\le \left(t_{k-1} - \left(t_{k-1} - \frac{t}{nA} \right) \right)^{2H-1} \cdot \Delta[W]_{t_k}$$

$$+ \text{ (thanks to (4.9)) } C \int_{t_{k-1} - \frac{t}{nA}}^{t_{k-1}} (t_{k-1} - s)^{2H-1} ds$$

$$\le \left(\frac{t}{nA} \right)^{2H-1} \Delta[W]_{t_k} + C \left(\frac{t}{nA} \right)^{2H}.$$

Taking the sum, we obtain:

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{n,1} \le C n^{2H-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{t}{nA} \right)^{2H-1} \Delta[W]_{t_k} + C n^{2H-1} n \left(\frac{t}{nA} \right)^{2H}$$

$$\le C A^{1-2H} t^{2H-1} [W]_t + C \frac{1}{A^{2H}} t^{2H}.$$

If we estimate the sum from $k = n\frac{s}{t} + 1$ to $k = n$, then

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{n,1} \le C A^{1-2H} t^{2H-1} \left([W]_t - [W]_s \right) + C \frac{1}{A^{2H}} t^{2H} \left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)$$

$$= CA^{1-2H}t^{2H-1}\left([W]_t - [W]_s\right) + C\frac{1}{A^{2H}}t^{2H-1}(t-s).$$

Now we want to prove that $\widetilde{\sigma}^{n,2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0, \quad n \to \infty$. As usual, it is enough to establish that

$$n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}\left(\int_{t_{k-2}}^{u} p_k^t(v)dW_v\right)^2 \left(p_k^t(u)\right)^2 d[W]_u \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0.$$

Now we can bound $[W]_u$ by Cdu, take the mathematical expectation, and note that $\left(p_k^t(u)\right)^2 \leq Cn^{1-2H}$. so, it is sufficient to prove that

$$n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{u}\left(p_k^t(v)\right)^2 d[W]_v \left(p_k^t(u)\right)^2 du \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0.$$

Since Cdv bounds $d[W]_v$, we have that this value can be bounded by

$$Cn^{4H-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}\left(\int_{t_{k-2}}^{u}\left(p_k^t(v)\right)^2 dv\right)\left(p_k^t(u)\right)^2 du$$

$$\leq C\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}}\left(\int_{t_{k-2}}^{u} dv\right) du \leq \frac{1}{n}C \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Finally,

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(J_k^{n,2}\right)^2 \leq CA^{1-2H}t^{2H-1}\left([W]_t - [W]_s\right) + C\frac{1}{A^{2H}}t^{2H-1}(t-s).$$

Now, proceed with $J_k^{n,3}$:

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(J_k^{n,3}\right)^2 = n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(\left(\frac{s}{t_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(t_k-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 d[W]_s$$

$$+n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{u}\left(\frac{s}{t_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(t_k-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dW_s\right)\left(\frac{u}{t_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(t_k-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dW_u.$$

The first term can be estimated as

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(t_k-s)^{2H-1}d[W]_s \leq C\left(\frac{t}{A}\right)^{2H-1}\left([W]_t - [W]_s\right) + \frac{C}{A^{2H}}t^{2H-1}(t-s),$$

as before.

And with the bound $d[W]_s \le C ds$, the second term can be estimated as $n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{u}(t_k-s)^{2H-1}\,ds\cdot(t_k-u)^{2H-1}\,du \le Cn^{-2}\to 0$. Therefore, for $\sum\left(J_k^{n,3}\right)^2$ we have the same estimate as for $\sum\left(J_k^{n,2}\right)^2$. Finally, estimate

$$n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(J_k^{n,4}\right)^2 = Cn^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} s^{\frac12-H}\int_s^{t_k} u^{H-\frac32}(u-s)^{H-\frac12}du\,dW_s\right)^2$$

$$= Cn^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} s^{1-2H}\left(\int_s^{t_k} u^{H-\frac32}(u-s)^{H-\frac12}du\right)^2 d[W]_s$$

$$+\,Cn^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{u} s^{\frac12-H}\int_s^{t_k} v^{H-\frac32}(v-s)^{H-\frac12}dv\,dW_s$$

$$\times\, u^{\frac12-H}\int_u^{t_k} v^{H-\frac32}(v-u)^{H-\frac12}dv dW_u.$$

The first term can be estimated with the help of (4.9) as

$$n^{2H-1}t^{1-2H}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\left(\int_s^{t_k} u^{H-\frac32}(u-s)^{H-\frac12}du\right)^2 d[W]_s \le Cn^{-2H}\to 0 \quad n\to\infty.$$

If $k=1$, then for $\frac1p+\frac1q=1$, $p,q>1$

$$n^{2H-1}t^{1-2H}\int_0^{t/n}\left(\int_s^{t/n} u^{H-\frac32}(u-s)^{H-\frac12}du\right)^2 ds$$

$$\le n^{2H-1}t^{1-2H}\int_0^{t/n}\left(\int_s^{t/n} u^{p(H-\frac32)}du\right)^{2/p}\left(\int_s^{t/n}(u-s)^{(H-\frac12)q}du\right)^{2/q} ds$$

$$\le n^{2H-1}t^{1-2H}\int_0^{t/n} s^{\left(pH-\frac{3p}{2}+1\right)\frac2p}\left(\frac tn - s\right)^{\left(Hq-\frac q2+1\right)\frac2q} ds$$

$$= n^{2H-1}t^{1-2H}\int_0^{t/n} s^{2H-3+\frac2p}\left(\frac tn - s\right)^{2H-1+\frac2q} ds \sim n^{2H-1}t^{1-2H}\left(\frac tn\right)^{4H-1}\to 0,$$

i.e. the "main term" of $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(J_k^{n,4}\right)^2$ tends to 0. For the remainder term of $n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(J_k^{n,4}\right)^2$, it is sufficient to prove that for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{n,3} := n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=\frac{n\varepsilon}{t}}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{u}\left(s^{\frac12-H}\int_s^{t_k} v^{H-\frac32}(v-s)^{H-\frac12}dv\right)^2 ds$$

$$\times\, u^{1-2H}\left(\int_u^{t_k} v^{H-\frac{3}{2}}(v-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dv\right)^2 du \to 0 \quad n\to\infty.$$

But

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{n,3} \le n^{4H-2}\sum_{k=\frac{n\varepsilon}{t}}^{n}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}\int_{t_{k-1}}^{u}\left(\int_s^{t_k} v^{H-\frac{3}{2}}(v-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dv\right)^2 ds$$

$$\times\left(\int_u^{t_k} v^{H-\frac{3}{2}}(v-u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}dv\right)^2 du \le n^{-6}\sum_{k=\frac{n\varepsilon}{t}}^{n}(t_{k-1})^{-4}\sim n^{-2}\to 0, \quad n\to\infty.$$

After all estimates, for $s>0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(\Delta X_{t_{k,n}}\right)^2 \le C_2A^{1-2H}t^{2H-1}\left([W]_t-[W]_s\right)+C_2\frac{1}{A^{2H}}t^{2H-1}(t-s).$$

We have the opposite estimate,

$$C_1t^{2H-1}(t-s)\le \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2H-1}\sum_{k=n\frac{s}{t}+2}^{n}\left(\Delta X_{t_{k,n}}\right)^2$$

$$\le C_2A^{1-2H}t^{2H-1}\left([W]_t-[W]_s\right)+C_2\frac{1}{A^{2H}}t^{2H-1}(t-s).$$

So, for A sufficiently large, $C_3 := C_1 - C_2\frac{1}{A^{2H}} > 0$, and we obtain that

$$C_3t^{2H-1}(t-s)\le C_2A^{1-2H}t^{2H-1}\left([W]_t-[W]_s\right),$$

whence $[W]_t-[W]_s \ge \frac{C_3}{C_2}A^{2H-1}(t-s)$, and constants do not depend on s and t. Therefore, if we write $[W]_t=\int_0^t p_s ds$, then $\varepsilon_1\le p_s\le\varepsilon_2$, $\varepsilon_i>0$, and $W_t=\int_0^t p_s^{1/2}dV_s$ with some Wiener process V. Then we can conclude the proof of the theorem by the same arguments as for $H\in(1/2,1)$.

REFERENCES

[1] *Carlen, P. and Krée, P. (1991), L^p estimates on iterated stochastic integrals. Annals of Probability,* ***19****, 354-368.*

[2] *Hu, Y. , Nualart, D., and Song, J. (2007), Fractional martingales and characterization of the fractional Brownian motion. preprint, 27 pages.*

[3] *Mandelbrot, B. and Van Ness, J. (1968), Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications.* SIAM Review, ***10****, 422 -437.*

[4] *Mishura, Yu. (2008), Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Related Processes. LNM 1929, Springer.*

[5] *Molchan, G. (2002), Linear problems for a fractional Brownian motion: Group approach.* Theory of Probability and its Applications, ***47****, 69-78.*

[6] *Norros, I., Valkeila, E. and Virtamo, J. (1999), An elementary approach to a Girsanov formula and other analytical results on fractional Brownian motions.* Bernoulli, ***5***, *571-587.*

[7] *Revuz, D. and Yor M. (1999) Continuous martingales and Brownian motion. Springer, New York.*

[8] *Rogers, L. C. G. (1997) Arbitrage with fractional Brownian motion. Mathem. Finance,* ***7***, *95-105.*

Kiev University
Department of Mathematics
Volodomirska Street 64
01033 Kiev, Ukraine
E-mail: myus@univ.kiev.ua

Aalto University
Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis
P.O. Box 11100, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland
E-mail: esko.valkeila@tkk.fi